Deep Learning

Pontus Giselsson

Outline

- Deep learning
- Learning features
- Overfitting and regularization
- Residual networks
- Backpropagation
- Vanishing and exploding gradients

Deep learning

- Can be used both for classification and regression
- Deep learning training problem is of the form

$$\underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L(m(x_i; \theta), y_i)$$

where typically

- $L(u,y) = \frac{1}{2}||u-y||_2^2$ is used for regression
- $L(u,y) = \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^K e^{u_j}\right) y^T u$ is used for K-class classification
- Difference to previous convex methods: Nonlinear model $m(x;\theta)$
 - Deep learning regression generalizes least squares
 - DL classification generalizes multiclass logistic regression
 - Nonlinear model makes training problem nonconvex

Prediction

- Prediction as in least squares and multiclass logistic regression
- Assume model $m(x;\theta)$ trained and "optimal" θ^{\star} found
- Regression:
 - Predict response for new data x using $\hat{y} = m(x; \theta^*)$
- Classification:
 - We have one model $m_j(x; \theta^*)$ output for each class
 - \bullet Predict class belonging for new data \boldsymbol{x} according to

$$\underset{j \in \{1, \dots, K\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} m_j(x; \theta^*)$$


i.e., class with largest model value (since loss designed this way)

Deep learning – Model

Nonlinear model of the following form is often used:

$$m(x;\theta) := W_n \sigma_{n-1}(W_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}(\cdots(W_2\sigma_1(W_1x+b_1)+b_2)\cdots)+b_{n-1})+b_n$$

- The σ_j are nonlinear and called activation functions
- Composition of nonlinear (σ_j) and affine $(W_j(\cdot) + b_j)$ operations
- Each σ_j function constitutes a hidden layer in the model network
- Graphical representation with three hidden layers



- Why this structure?
 - (Assumed) universal function approximators
 - Efficient gradient computation using backpropagation

Activation functions

- Activation function σ_i takes as input the output of $W_i(\cdot) + b_i$
- Often a function $\bar{\sigma}_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is applied to each element

• Example:
$$\sigma_j : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$$
 is $\sigma_j(u) = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\sigma}_j(u_1) \\ \bar{\sigma}_j(u_2) \\ \bar{\sigma}_j(u_3) \end{bmatrix}$

ullet We will use notation over-loading and call both functions σ_j

Examples of activation functions

| Name | $\sigma(u)$ | Graph |
|-----------|--|-------|
| Sigmoid | $\frac{1}{1+e^{-u}}$ | |
| ReLU | $\max(u,0)$ | |
| LeakyReLU | $\max(u, \alpha u)$ | |
| ELU | $\begin{cases} u & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^u - 1) & \text{else} \end{cases}$ | |
| SELU | $\lambda \begin{cases} u & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^u - 1) & \text{else} \end{cases}$ | |

Examples of affine transformations

- Dense (fully connected): Dense W_i
- Sparse: Sparse W_i
 - Convolutional layer (convolution with small pictures)
 - Fixed (random) sparsity pattern
- Subsampling: reduce size, W_j fat (smaller output than input)
 - average pooling

Outline

- Deep learning
- Learning features
- Overfitting and regularization
- Residual networks
- Backpropagation
- Vanishing and exploding gradients

Learning features

- Used prespecified feature maps (or Kernels) in convex methods
- Deep learning instead learns feature map during training
 - Define parameter (weight) dependent feature vector:

$$\phi(x;\theta) := \sigma_{n-1}(W_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}(\cdots(W_2\sigma_1(W_1x+b_1)+b_2)\cdots)+b_{n-1})$$

- Model becomes $m(x;\theta) = W_n \phi(x;\theta) + b_n$
- Inserted into training problem:


$$\underset{\theta}{\text{minimize}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L(W_n \phi(x_i; \theta) + b_n, y_i)$$

same as before, but with learned (parameter-dependent) features


Learning features at training makes training nonconvex

Learning features – Graphical representation

• Fixed features gives convex training problems



• Learning features gives nonconvex training problems



Output of last activation function is feature vector

Design choices

Many design choices in building model to create good features


- Number of layers
- Width of layers
- Types of layers
- Types of activation functions
- Use different model structure (e.g., residual network)

Outline

- Deep learning
- Learning features
- Overfitting and regularization
- Residual networks
- Backpropagation
- Vanishing and exploding gradients

Overparameterization

- ullet Assume fully connected network with n layers and N samples
- ullet Assume all layers have p outputs and data $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- Number of weights $(W_j)_{lk}$: p^2n and $(b_j)_l$: pn
- Assume $N \approx p^2$ then factor n more weights than samples
- Often overparameterized ⇒ can lead to overfitting



Reduce overfitting

Reduce number of weights


- Sparse weight tensors (e.g., convolutional layers)
- Subsampling (gives fewer weights deeper in network)

Regularization

- Explicit regularization term in cost function, e.g., Tikhonov
- Data augmentation more samples, artificial often OK
- Early stopping stop algorithm before convergence
- Dropouts next slide

Dropouts

- Training problem solved by stochastic gradient method
- Compute gradients on different networks to avoid overfitting
- Take out nodes from network with probability ρ



• Use scaled $\rho\sigma$ in prediction (on average used $\rho\sigma$ in training)

Outline

- Deep learning
- Learning features
- Overfitting and regularization
- Residual networks
- Backpropagation
- Vanishing and exploding gradients

Performance with increasing depth

- Increasing depth can deteriorate performance
- Deep networks may even have worse training errors than shallow
- Intuition: deeper layers bad at approximating identity mapping

Residual networks


- Add skip connections between layers
- Instead of network architecture with $z_1 = x_i$ (see figure):

$$z_{j+1} = \sigma_j(W_j z_j + b_j) \text{ for } j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$$

use residual architecture


$$z_{j+1} = z_j + \sigma_j(W_j z_j + b_j)$$
 for $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

- Assume $\sigma(0) = 0$, $W_j = 0$, $b_j = 0$ for j = 1, ..., m (m < n 1) \Rightarrow deeper part of network is identity mapping and does no harm
- Learns variation from identity mapping (residual)




Graphical representation

For graphical representation, first collapse nodes into single node




Graphical representation

Collapsed network representation




• Residual network



• If some $h_j = 0$ gives same performance as shallower network

Regularization – Layer Dropouts

- Compute gradient on different networks to avoid overfitting
- In residual networks, layers are approximately identity
- We can drop out layers instead of individual neurons
- Drop layer with probability ρ
- Called stochastic depth residual networks



Outline

- Deep learning
- Learning features
- Overfitting and regularization
- Residual networks
- Backpropagation
- Vanishing and exploding gradients

Training algorithm

- Neural networks often trained using stochastic gradient descent
- DNN weights are updated via gradients in training
- Gradient of cost is sum of gradients of summands (samples)
- Gradient of each summand computed using backpropagation

Backpropagation

- Backpropagation is reverse mode automatic differentiation
- Based on chain-rule in differentiation
- Backpropagation must be performed per sample
- Our derivation assumes:
 - Fully connected layers (W full, if not, set elements in W to 0)
 - Activation functions $\sigma_j(v) = (\sigma_j(v_1), \dots, \sigma_j(v_p))$ element-wise (overloading of σ_j notation)
 - Weights W_j are matrices, samples x_i and responses y_i are vectors
 - No residual connections

Jacobians

• The Jacobian of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

• The Jacobian of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1n}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{p1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{pn}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$$

• The Jacobian of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{p \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is at layer j given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}_{:,j,:} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_{j1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_{jn}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{j1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_{jn}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$

the full Jacobian is a 3D tensor in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times p \times n}$

Jacobian vs gradient

• The Jacobian of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

• The gradient of a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e., transpose of Jacobian for $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$

• Chain rule holds for Jacobians:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$$

Jacobian vs gradient – Example

- Consider differentiable $f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$
- Compute Jacobian of $g = (f \circ M)$ using chain rule:
 - Rewrite as g(x) = f(z) where z = Mx
 - Compute Jacobian by partial Jacobians $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \nabla f(z)^T M = \nabla f(Mx)^T M \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$$

• Know gradient of $(f \circ M)(x)$ satisfies

$$\nabla (f \circ M)(x) = M^T \nabla f(Mx) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

which is transpose of Jacobian

Backpropagation – Introduce states

• Compute gradient/Jacobian of

$$L(m(x_i;\theta),y_i)$$
 w.r.t. $\theta=\{(W_j,b_j\}_{j=1}^n$, where
$$m(x_i;\theta)=W_n\sigma_{n-1}(W_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}(\cdots(W_2\sigma_1(W_1x_i+b_1)+b_2)\cdots)+b_{n-1})+b_n$$

• Rewrite as function with states z_j


$$L(z_{n+1},y_i)$$
 where $z_{j+1}=\sigma_j(W_jz_j+b_j)$ for $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ and $z_1=x_i$ where $\sigma_n(u)\equiv u$

Graphical representation

Per sample loss function

$$L(z_{n+1},y_i)$$
 where $z_{j+1}=\sigma_j(W_jz_j+b_j)$ for $j\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ and $z_1=x_i$ where $\sigma_n(u)\equiv u$

Graphical representation



Backpropagation – Chain rule

ullet Jacobian of L w.r.t. W_j and b_j can be computed as

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial W_j} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}} \frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_n} \cdots \frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial b_j} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}} \frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_n} \cdots \frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial b_j} \end{split}$$

where we mean derivative w.r.t. first argument in L

Backpropagation evaluates partial Jacobians as follows

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_j} = \left(\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}} \frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_n} \right) \cdots \frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}} \right) \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b_j} = \left(\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}} \frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_n} \right) \cdots \frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}} \right) \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial b_j}$$

Backpropagation – Forward and backward pass

- Jacobian of $L(z_{n+1}, y_i)$ w.r.t. z_{n+1} (transpose of gradient)
- Computing Jacobian of $L(z_{n+1}, y_i)$ requires z_{n+1} \Rightarrow forward pass: $z_1 = x_i$, $z_{j+1} = \sigma_j(W_j z_j + b_j)$
- Backward pass, store δ_i :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{j+1}} = \left(\underbrace{\left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}}}_{\delta_{n+1}^T} \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_n}} \right) \cdots \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}}}_{\delta_{j+1}^T} \right)}_{\delta_{j+1}^T}$$

Compute

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial W_j} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{j+1}} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial b_j} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{j+1}} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial b_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial b_j} \end{split}$$

Dimensions

- Let $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$, consequently $W_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{j+1} \times n_j}$, $b_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$
- Dimensions

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_{j}} = \left(\left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}}}_{1 \times n_{n+1}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_{n}}}_{1 \times n_{n+1} \times n_{n}} \right) \cdots \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}}}_{n_{j+2} \times n_{j+1}} \right) \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_{j}}}_{n_{j+1} \times n_{j+1} \times n_{j}}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b_{j}} = \underbrace{\left(\left(\underbrace{\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}}}_{1 \times n_{j+1}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_{n}}}_{1 \times n_{j+1}} \cdots \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{j+2}}{\partial z_{j+1}}}_{n_{j+1} \times n_{j}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial b_{j}}}_{n_{j+1} \times n_{j}} \right) \right)}_{1 \times n_{j+1}}$$

- Vector matrix multiplies except for in last step
- Multiplication with tensor $\frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_i}$ can be simplified
- ullet Backpropagation variables $\delta_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$ are vectors (not matrices)

Partial Jacobian $\frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial z_j}$

- Recall relation $z_{j+1} = \sigma_j(W_jz_j + b_j)$ and let $v_j = W_jz_j + b_j$
- Chain rule gives

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial z_j} &= \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial v_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial z_j} = \mathbf{diag}(\sigma_j'(v_j)) \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial z_j} \\ &= \mathbf{diag}(\sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j)) W_j \end{split}$$

where, with abuse of notation (notation overloading)

$$\sigma'_{j}(u) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma'_{j}(u_{1}) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma'_{j}(u_{n_{j+1}}) \end{bmatrix}$$

• Reason: $\sigma_j(u) = [\sigma_j(u_1), \dots, \sigma_j(u_{n_{j+1}})]^T$ with $\sigma_j: \mathbb{R}^{n_{j+1}} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_{j+1}}$, gives

$$\frac{d\sigma_j}{du} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma'_j(u_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma'_j(u_{n_{j+1}}) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{diag}(\sigma'_j(u))$$

Partial Jacobian $\delta_j^T = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_j}$

• For any vector $\delta_{j+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{j+1} \times 1}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial z_j} &= \delta_{j+1}^T \operatorname{\mathbf{diag}}(\sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j)) W_j \\ &= (W_j^T (\delta_{j+1}^T \operatorname{\mathbf{diag}}(\sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j)))^T)^T \\ &= (W_j^T (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j)))^T \end{split}$$

where ⊙ is element-wise (Hadamard) product

• We have defined $\delta_{n+1}^T = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}}$, then

$$\delta_n^T = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_n} = \delta_{n+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{n+1}}{\partial z_n} = (\underbrace{W_n^T (\delta_{n+1} \odot \sigma_n' (W_n z_n + b_n))}_{\delta_n})^T$$

Consequently, using induction:

$$\delta_j^T = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial z_j} = (\underbrace{W_j^T (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j' (W_j z_j + b_j))}_{\delta_j})^T$$

Information needed to compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_j}$


- To compute first Jacobian $\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_n}$, we need $z_n \Rightarrow$ forward pass
- Computing

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial z_j} = (W_j^T (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j' (W_j z_j + b_j)))^T = \delta_j^T$$

is done using a backward pass

$$\delta_j = W_j^T(\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j))$$

• All z_j (or $v_j = W_j z_j + b_j$) need to be stored for backward pass



Partial Jacobian $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_i}$

Computed by

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_j} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{j+1}} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j}$$

where $z_{j+1} = \sigma_j(v_j)$ and $v_j = W_j z_j + b_j$

ullet Recall $rac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_l}$ is 3D tensor, compute Jacobian w.r.t. row l $(W_j)_l$

$$\delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial (W_j)_l} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial v_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial (W_j)_l} = \delta_{j+1}^T \operatorname{\mathbf{diag}}(\sigma_j'(v_j)) \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z_j^T \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=(\delta_{j+1}\odot\sigma_j'(W_jz_j+b_j))^Tegin{bmatrix}0\ dots\ z_j^T\ dots\ 0\end{bmatrix}=(\delta_{j+1}\odot\sigma_j'(W_jz_j+b_j))_lz_j^T$$

Partial Jacobian $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_i}$ cont'd

• Stack Jacobians w.r.t. rows to get full Jacobian:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial W_j} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial (W_j)_1} \\ \vdots \\ \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial (W_j)_{n_{j+1}}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_j (W_j z_j + b_j))_1 z_j^T \\ \vdots \\ (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_j (W_j z_j + b_j))_{n_{j+1}} z_j^T \end{bmatrix} \\
= (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_j (W_j z_j + b_j)) z_j^T$$

for all $j \in \{1, ..., n-1\}$

- Dimension of result is $n_{j+1} \times n_j$, which matches W_j
- ullet This is used to update W_j weights in algorithm

Partial Jacobian $\frac{\partial L}{\partial b_i}$

- ullet Recall $z_{j+1}=\sigma_j(v_j)$ where $v_j=W_jz_j+b_j$
- Computed by

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial b_j} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{j+1}} \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial v_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial b_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \frac{\partial z_{j+1}}{\partial v_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial b_j} = \delta_{j+1}^T \operatorname{\mathbf{diag}}(\sigma_j'(v_j)) \\ &= (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j))^T \end{split}$$

Backpropagation summarized

1. Forward pass: Compute and store z_j (or $v_j = W_j z_j + b_j$):

$$z_{j+1} = \sigma_j(W_j z_j + b_j)$$

where $z_1 = x_i$ and $\sigma_n = \operatorname{Id}$

2. Backward pass:

$$\delta_j = W_j^T(\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j))$$

with
$$\delta_{n+1} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_{n+1}}$$

3. Weight update Jacobians (used in SGD)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_j} = (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_j (W_j z_j + b_j)) z_j^T$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b_j} = (\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_j (W_j x_j + b_j))^T$$

Outline

- Deep learning
- Learning features
- Overfitting and regularization
- Residual networks
- Backpropagation
- Vanishing and exploding gradients

Vanishing and exploding gradient problem

- For some activation functions, gradients can vanish
- For other activation functions, gradients can explode

Vanishing gradient example: Sigmoid

- Assume $\|W_j\| \le 1$ for all j and $\|\delta_{n+1}\| \le C$
- Maximal derivative of sigmoid (σ) is 0.25
- Then

$$\left\| \frac{\partial L}{\partial z_j} \right\| = \|\delta_j\| = \|W_j^T(\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j))\| \le 0.25 \|\delta_{j+1}\|$$
$$\le 0.25^{n-j+1} \|\delta_{n+1}\| \le 0.25^{n-j+1} C$$

- ullet Hence, as n grows, gradients can become very small for small i
- In general, vanishing gradient if $\sigma' < 1$ everywhere
- Similar reasoning: exploding gradient if $\sigma' > 1$ everywhere
- Hence, need $\sigma' = 1$ in large regions

Examples of activation functions

Activation functions that (partly) avoid vanishing gradients

| Name | $\sigma(u)$ | Graph |
|-----------|--|-------|
| ReLU | $\max(u,0)$ | |
| LeakyReLU | $\max(u, \alpha u)$ | |
| ELU | $\begin{cases} u & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^u - 1) & \text{else} \end{cases}$ | |
| SELU | $\lambda \begin{cases} u & \text{if } u \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^u - 1) & \text{else} \end{cases}$ | |

Avoiding exploding gradient – Gradient clipping

- "Clip" gradients, e.g.,: $\|W_j\|_2 \le 1$, $|(W_j)_{lk}| \le c$
- Using $||W_j||_2 \le 1$, $||b_j||_2 \le d$ and 1-Lipschitz σ_j controls growth:
 - Forward pass (assuming $\sigma_j(0)=0$): $z_{j+1}=\sigma_j(W_jz_j+b_j)$

$$||z_{j+1}||_2 = ||\sigma_j(W_j z_j + b_j)||_2 \le ||W_j z_j + b_j||_2 \le ||W_j z_j||_2 + ||b_j||_2$$

$$\le ||z_j||_2 + d$$

• Backward pass: $\delta_j = W_j^T(\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma_j'(W_j z_j + b_j))$

$$\|\delta_{j}\|_{2} = \|W_{j}^{T}(\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_{j}(W_{j}z_{j} + b_{j}))\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \|W_{j}^{T}\|_{2}\|\delta_{j+1} \odot \sigma'_{j}(W_{j}z_{j} + b_{j})\|_{2}$$

$$\leq \|\delta_{j+1}\|_{2}$$

• Initialize weights from normal distr., scale to have $\|W_j\|_2 = 1$