

#### FRNT05 Nonlinear Control Systems and Servo Systems Lecture 12: From optimal control to nonlinear control

YIANNIS KARAYIANNIDIS, ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR AUTOMATIC CONTROL, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING.

www.yiannis.info
yiannis@control.lth.se



### Outline

Linear	Quadratic Control
Time	Optimal Control
Model	Predictive Contro

-



#### Maximum principle – no final time constraint

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Optimization} \\ \textbf{Problem (OP2)} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{Where} \\ x(t) \in R^n, \quad u(t) \in U \subseteq R^m, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_f \\ \dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0 \\ \psi(t_f, x(t_f)) = 0 \end{array}$$

Assume that OP2 has a solution. Then there is a vector function  $\lambda(t)$ , a number  $n_0 \geq 0$  and a vector  $\mu \in R^r$  such that  $[n_0 \ \mu^T] \neq 0$  and  $H(x, u, \lambda) = n_0 L(x, u) + \lambda^T(t) f(x, u)$ 

$$\begin{split} & \min_{u \in U} H(x^*(t), u, \lambda(t), n_0) = H(x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t), n_0), \quad 0 \le t \le t_f, \\ & \text{where } \lambda(t) \text{ solves the adjoint equation} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\lambda}(t) &= -H_x^T(x^*(t), u^*(t), \lambda(t), n_0) \\ \lambda(t_f) &= n_0 \phi_x^T(t_f, x^*(t_f)) + \psi_x^T(t_f, x^*(t_f)) \mu \end{cases} \end{split}$$

If the end time  $t_f$  is free, then  $H(x^*(t_f), u^*(t_f), \lambda(t_f), n_0) = 0$ . If the end time  $t_f$  is given:  $H(x^{*}(t_{f}), u^{*}(t_{f}), \lambda(t_{f}), n_{0}) = -n_{0}\phi_{t}(t_{f}, x^{*}(t_{f})) - \mu^{T}\psi_{t}(t_{f}, x^{*}(t_{f})).$ 



# Optimal control (Linear Control Systems with quadratic running cost)

e

Performance, cost function Free-final-state but in the perfromance function

System dynamics (dynamic constraint)

Hamiltonian

Hamiltonian minimization with respect to u

State equation

Co-state, adjoint equation

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}(t_{f})P(t_{f})x(t_{f}) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t_{f}}x^{T}Qx + u^{T}Ru\,dt$$

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \, x(t_0) = x_0$$

$$H(x, u, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} (x^T Q x + u^T R u) + \lambda^T (A x + B u) \equiv u^T A_I u$$

$$\frac{\partial H(u)}{\partial u} = u^T R + \lambda^T B \rightarrow u = -R^{-1} B^T + \lambda^T U + b^T u$$

$$\frac{[\dot{x}]}{[\dot{\lambda}]} = \begin{bmatrix} A & -BR^{-1} B^T \\ -Q & -A^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} x(t_0) = x_0$$

$$\lambda(t_f) = P(t_f) x(t_f)$$

$$\lambda(t) = P(t)x(t)$$

 $\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = (A(t) - BR^{-1}B^T P(t))x(t), & x(0) \text{ given} \\ \dot{P}(t) = P(t)A + A^T P(t) + P(t)BR^{-1}B^T(t)P(t) - Q \end{cases}$ 



 $P(t_f)$  known by the cost function

# Optimal control (Linear Control Systems with quadratic running cost and fixed final state)

Performance, cost function

Constraint on System dynamics (dynamic constraint)

Hamiltonian

Hamiltonian minimization with respect to u

State equation  $\hat{x} = -a \times + f$ Co-state, adjoint equation

3. use the constraint to find

 $X(t_{r}) = r$ 

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} u^T R u \, dt$$
  

$$\psi(x(t_f)) = 0 \to x(t_f) = r$$
  

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u), \, x(t_0) = x_0$$
  

$$H(x, u, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} u^T R u + \lambda^T (A x + B u)$$

$$\frac{\partial H(u)}{\partial u} = u^T R + \lambda^T B \to u = -R^{-1}B^T \lambda$$

 $\frac{-BR^{-1}B^T}{-A^T}$ 

Steps: 1. solve backwards the second differential equation 
$$\lambda(t) \stackrel{\bullet}{=} e^{A^T(t_f - t)}\lambda(t_f)$$

 $\lambda(t_f)$ 

2. substitute the solution in the system dynamics and solve the initial value problem



m Luni Universit

 $x(t_0) = x_0$  $\lambda(t_f) ??$ 

# Example – moving to the origin in minimum time

Hamiltonian:  $H = n_0 + \lambda_1 x_2 + \lambda_2 u$ 

Adjoint equation:

t. 
$$\ddot{x} = u$$
,  $x(0) = x_0$ ,  $\dot{x}(0) = v_0$   
 $u \in [-1, 1]$   
 $x(t_f) = 0$ ,  $\dot{x}(t_f) = 0$   
 $\begin{vmatrix} \dot{\lambda}_1 \\ \dot{\lambda}_2 \end{vmatrix} = -H_x^T = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_1} \\ -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $\begin{cases} \lambda_1(t_f) = \mu_1 \\ \lambda_2(t_f) = \mu_2 \end{cases}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda_1(t) = \mu_1 \\ \lambda_2(t) = \mu_1(t - t_f) + \mu_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\ddot{x} = u \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = u \end{cases}$$

$$min t_f$$

 $\min_{\mathbf{A}} \int_0^{t_f} dt$ 

At optimality: 
$$\min_{u} H(u) \equiv \underbrace{(\mu_1(t-t_f) + \mu_2)}_{\sigma(t) = \lambda_2(t)} u + n_0 + \lambda_1 x_2$$

$$u^*(t) = \begin{cases} -1, & \sigma(t) > 0\\ 1, & \sigma(t) < 0 \end{cases}$$



Conditions:  $H(t_f) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_2 u^*(t_f) = -n_0$ 

#### Example – minimum time control



#### Example – minimum time control



#### Example – minimum time control See Ex. 7, 11



- Optimal control provides with trajectories that can be then used as references to a controller
- We mainly address input constraints, but there might be state constraints e.g. obstacles that need to be avoided
- A popular approach is Model Predictive Control



#### From Continuous to Discrete Time

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax + Bu \\ y &= Cx \\ \end{bmatrix} & J = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}(t_{f})P(t_{f})x(t_{f}) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{f}}x^{T}Qx + u^{T}Ru\,dt \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Sampling time T} \\ & A_{d} &= e^{AT} \\ & B_{d} &= (A_{d} - I)A^{-1}B \\ & \mathbf{Euler Approximation} \\ & A_{d} &= I + TA \\ & B_{d} &= TB \\ & J &= x^{T}(M)P(M)x(M) + \sum_{1}^{M-1}x^{T}(i)Qx(i) + u^{T}(i)Ru(i) \\ x(t+1) &= A_{d}x(t) + B_{d}u(t) \\ & y(t) &= Cx(t) \\ \end{aligned}$$



# Receding horizon control – basic idea



- 1. At time instant t predict the response of the system over a prediction horizon M using inputs over a control horizon N.
- 2. Optimize a specified objective or cost function with respect to a control sequence u(t + j), j = 0, 1, ..., N 1.
- 3. Apply the first control u(t) and start over from 1 at next sample.



#### Unroll the cost

Minimize a cost function, V, of inputs and predicted outputs.

$$V = V(U_t, Y_t), \qquad U_t = \begin{bmatrix} u(t+N-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{y}(t+M|t) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{y}(t+1|t) \end{bmatrix}$$

*V* often quadratic

$$V(U_t, Y_t) = Y_t^T Q_y Y_t + U_t^T Q_u U_t$$
(1)

 $\implies$  linear controller

$$u(t) = -L\widehat{x}(t|t)$$



# Optimization problem

$$J(t) = y^{T}(t+M)P(t+M)y(t+M) + \sum_{t+1}^{t+M-1} y^{T}(i)Qy(i) + u^{T}(i)Ru(i) dt$$

Minimize a cost function, V, of inputs and predicted outputs.

$$V = V(U_t, Y_t), \qquad U_t = \begin{bmatrix} u(t+N-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad Y_t = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{y}(t+M|t) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{y}(t+1|t) \end{bmatrix}$$

*V* often quadratic

$$V(U_t, Y_t) = Y_t^T Q_y Y_t + U_t^T Q_u U_t$$

 $\implies$  linear controller

$$u(t) = -L\widehat{x}(t|t)$$



#### Prediction

Discrete-time model

$$\begin{aligned} x(t+1) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) + B_v v_1(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) + v_2(t) \end{aligned} \qquad t = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Predictor (v unknown)

$$\widehat{x}(t+k+1|t) = A\widehat{x}(t+k|t) + Bu(t+k)$$
$$\widehat{y}(t+k|t) = C\widehat{x}(t+k|t)$$



### Receding horizon control

- $\hat{x}(t|t)$  is predicted by a standard Kalman filter, using outputs up to time t, and inputs up to time t 1.
- Future predicted outputs are given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{y}(t+M|t) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{y}(t+1|t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} CA^M \\ \vdots \\ CA \end{bmatrix} \widehat{x}(t|t) + \begin{bmatrix} CB & CAB & CA^2B & \dots \\ 0 & CB & CAB & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(t+M-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t+N-1) \\ \vdots \\ u(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $Y_t = D_x \widehat{x}(t|t) + D_u U_t$ 



# Receding horizon control

- + Flexible method
  - $\star\,$  Many types of models for prediction:
    - \* state space, input-output, step response, nonlinear models
  - $\star$  MIMO
  - $\star\,$  Time delays
- + Can include constraints on input signal and states
- + Can include future reference and disturbance information
- On-line optimization needed
- Stability (and performance) analysis can be complicated



### Receding horizon control

Limitations on control signals, states and outputs,

 $|u(t)| \le C_u \quad |x_i(t)| \le C_{x_i} \quad |y(t)| \le C_y,$ 

leads to linear programming or quadratic optimization. Efficient optimization software exists.



# Design of MPC

- Model
- M (look on settling time)
- ${\cal N}$  as long as computational time allows
- If N < M-1 assumption on  $u(t+N), \ldots, u(t+M-1)$  needed (e.g., = 0, = u(t+N-1).)
- $Q_y$ ,  $Q_u$  (trade-offs between control effort and performance)
- $C_y$ ,  $C_u$  constraints often given
- Sampling time

