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## Segmentation using Graphs

- Graphs
- A simple graph based clustering method
- The Mumford-Shah functional
- Graph cuts


## Graph theory

A graph $G=(V, E)$ consists of vertices(nodes) $V$ and edges $E$. Every edge connects two vertices.
In a directed graph, every edge has an orientation.
In a weighted graph, every edge has a weight (a number).
A graph is connected if one can 'walk' between all pairs of vertices through one or several edges.
Every graph can be split into a disjoint set of connected components.

## Graph theory

Weighted graphs can be represented as a matrix. A weighted edge between vertex $i$ and vertex $j$ with $v$ is represented by matrix element $(i, j)$.
For un-directed graphs, half the weight is put at position $(i, j)$ and half in $(j, i)$.
Connected components - blocks in block diagonal matrices.

## Graph theoretic clustering

- Represent tokens
using a weighted graph.
-affinity matrix
- Cut up this graph to get subgraphs with strong interior links


## Graph theoretic clustering

When solving clustering problems with graph theoretical methods one need a closeness measure $v_{i, j}$, for every pair of nodes ( $i, j$ ). A large number means that they are close. A small number means that they are different.
The affinity measure depends on which problem one has.
Usual ingredients are

- Distance-e.g. $\operatorname{aff}(x, y)=e^{-(x-y)^{T}(x-y) /\left(2 \sigma_{d}^{2}\right)}$
- Intensity - e.g. $\operatorname{aff}(x, y)=e^{-(l(x)-l(y))^{\top} l((x)-l(y)) /\left(2 \sigma_{l}^{2}\right)}$
- Color - e.g. $\operatorname{aff}(x, y)=e^{-\operatorname{dist}(c(x), c(y))^{2} /\left(2 \sigma_{c}^{2}\right)}$
- Texture - e.g. $\operatorname{aff}(x, y)=e^{-(f(x)-f(y))^{T}(f(x)-f(y)) /\left(2 \sigma_{f}^{2}\right)}$


## Graph theoretic clustering

Assume that $w_{n}$ is a vector of ones for those elements that belong to a particular cluster and zeros otherwise. Then the sum of all weights for edges within a cluster is

$$
w_{n}^{T} A w_{n}
$$

By maximizing $w_{n}^{T} A w_{n}$ with the constraint $w_{n}^{T} w_{n}=1$ one might argue that we maximize clustering.
Maxima with this problem corresponds to stationary points of the Lagrange function.

## Graph theoretic clustering

Maximize $w_{n}^{\top} A w_{n}$ with constraint $w_{n}^{\top} w_{n}=1$.
Study the Lagrange function

$$
L\left(w_{n}, \lambda\right)=w_{n}^{\top} A w_{n}+\lambda\left(w_{n}^{\top} w_{n}-1\right)
$$

Differentiate and divide with two gives

$$
A w_{n}=-\lambda\left(w_{n}\right)
$$

This is an eigenvalue problem.




## Example eigenvector




## More than two segments

- Two options
-Recursively split each side to get a tree, continuing till the eigenvalues are too small
-Use the other eigenvectors


## 3. Segmentation problem The Mumford-Shah functional

- Consists in computing a decomposition of the domain of the image $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$

$$
R=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}
$$

1. $f$ varies smootly and/or slowly within $\boldsymbol{R}_{i}$
2. $\boldsymbol{f}$ varies discontinuously and/or rapidly across most of the boundary $\Gamma$ between regions $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

## Segmentation problem

- Segmentation problem may be restated as
- finding optimal approximations of a general function $f$ by piece-wise smooth functions $\boldsymbol{g}$, whose restrictions $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ to the regions $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ are differentiable
- Many other applications:
- Speech recognition
- Sonar, radar or laser range data
- MR images and CT scans
- etc...


## Optimal Segmentation

- Mumford and Shah studied 3 functionals which measure the degree of match between an image $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ and a segmentation.
- First, they defined a general functional $\boldsymbol{E}$ (the famous Mumford-Shah functional):
- $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ will be disjoint connected open subsets of the planar domain $\boldsymbol{R}$, each one with a piece-wise smooth boundary
- $\Gamma$ will be the union of the boundaries.

$$
R=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} R_{i} \circlearrowleft \Gamma
$$

## Mumford-Shah functional

- Let $\boldsymbol{g}$ differentiable on $\boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ and allowed to be discontinuous across $\Gamma$.

$$
E(g, \Gamma)=\mu^{2} \int_{R}(g-f)^{2} d x d y+\int_{R-\Gamma}\|\nabla g\|^{2} d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|
$$

- The smaller $\boldsymbol{E}$, the better $(\boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{\Gamma})$ segments $\boldsymbol{f}$

1. $\boldsymbol{g}$ approximates $\boldsymbol{f}$
2. $\boldsymbol{g}$ (hence $f$ ) does not vary much on $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$
3. The boundary $\Gamma$ be as short as possible

- Dropping any term would cause inf $E=0$.


## Cartoon Image

- $(g, \Gamma)$ is simply a cartoon of the original image $f$.
$\checkmark$ Basically $\boldsymbol{g}$ is a new image with edges drawn sharply.
$\checkmark$ The objects are drawn smootly without texture.
$\checkmark(\boldsymbol{g}, \Gamma)$ is essentially an idealization of $\boldsymbol{f}$ by the sort of image created by an artist.
$\checkmark$ Such cartoons are perceived correctly as representing the same scene as $f->g$ is a simplification of the scene containing most of its essential features.


## Cartoon Image example


f

g

## Piecewise constant approximation

- A special case of $\boldsymbol{E}$ where $\boldsymbol{g}=\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is constant on each open set $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

$$
E_{0}(a, \Gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|
$$

- It is minimized in $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ by setting $\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ to the mean of $\boldsymbol{f}$ in $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

$$
a_{i}=\int_{R_{i}} f d x d y / \operatorname{area}\left(R_{i}\right)
$$

## Piecewise constant approximation

- A special case of $\boldsymbol{E}$ where $\boldsymbol{g}=\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ is constant on each open set $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$.

$$
E_{0}(a, \Gamma)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|
$$

- It can be proven that minimizing $E_{0}$ is well posed:
$\checkmark$ For any continuous $\boldsymbol{f}$, there exists a $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ made up of finite number of singular points joined by a finite number of arcs on which $E_{0}$ attains a minimum.


## Two phase Mumford-Shah functional

$$
E_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \Gamma\right)=\int_{R_{1}}\left(a_{1}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\int_{R_{2}}\left(a_{2}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|
$$

-Energy based on two segments R1 and R2 -Assume a1 and a2 known
-Regularization based on boundary length

## 4. Segmentation - Graph Cuts

- Idea:

1. See the segmentation problem as a classification problem
2. Finding the highest a posteriori classification (segmentation) is an optimization problem
3. Construct a graph so that the min-cut problem is equivalent to the optimization problem in step 2.
4. Compute a minimum cut that gives the optimal solution.

Note: Min-cut of a graph can be efficiently computed (polynomial time) via max flow algorithms.

## A priori probabilities of segmentations

Idea:
We are segmenting some pixels as foreground (1) and some as background (0).
It might be more probable with foreground pixels or the inverse, e.g. $P\left(g_{i}=1\right)=p_{1}$

Note: Min-cut of a graph can be efficiently computed (polynomial time) via max flow algorithms.

## Statistical interpretation

Notation:
$f$ - observed image
$g$ - sought, unknown image
$P(g \mid f)$ - posterior distribution
Using the Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) principle, we should maximize the posterior distribution.
Bayes rule: $\quad P(g \mid f)=\frac{P(f \mid g) P(g)}{P(f)}$
Negative logs give:
$-\log (P(g \mid f))=-\log (P(f \mid g))-\log (P(g))+\mathrm{const}$
$E(f, g)=E_{\text {data }}(f, g)+E_{\text {prior }}(g)$

## Statistical two-phase Mumford-Shah

Energy: $\quad E(f, g)=E_{\text {data }}(f, g)+E_{\text {prior }}(g)$
Recall:
$E_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \Gamma\right)=\int_{R_{1}}\left(a_{1}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\int_{R_{2}}\left(a_{2}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|$
First two data terms: "reconstructed $g$ should be close to data (image) $f$ ".
Third term: "prior knowledge says that shorter curves $g$ are preferred".

More general formulation:

$$
E_{0}(\Gamma)=\int_{R_{1}}-\log \left(P(f(x, y) \mid \text { class } 1) d x d y+\int_{R_{2}}-\log (P(f(x, y) \mid \text { class } 2) d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|\right.
$$

## Edge weights for statistical model

Set edge weights such that a cut corresponds to a solution of the optimization problem


Consider pixel i. A cut must contain either:
$-\log (P(f(x, y) \mid$ class 2$)$
Set edge weights accordingly:
$-\log (P(f(x, y)$ class2 $)$ 1. $-\log (P(f(x, y)$ class 1$)$ for edge ( $s, i)$,
2. $-\log (P(f(x, y) \mid$ class 2$)$ for edge $(i, t)$

$$
E_{0}(\Gamma)=\int_{R_{1}}-\log \left(P(f(x, y) \mid \text { class } 1) d x d y+\int_{R_{2}}-\log (P(f(x, y) \mid \text { class } 2) d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|\right.
$$

## Graph representation of images


$3 \times 3$ image


Directed, weighted graph, one node for every pixel + source and sink nodes

## Graph Cuts



Definition: A cut (or s-t cut) in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a subset of edges $E_{c}$ such that there is no path from $s$ to $t$ when $E_{c}$ is removed.

Definition: The cost of a cut is the sum of all edge weights for the edges in the cut.

## Minimum Cuts



Definition: A minimum cut is a cut with minimum cost.

Note: A cut separates all nodes in two sets:
(i) nodes that are connected to the source nodes, and
(ii) those that are not.

## Edge weights data term

Set edge weights such that a cut corresponds to a solution of the optimization problem


## Edge weights regularization term

Set edge weights such that a cut corresponds to a solution of the optimization problem

Consider two neighbouring pixels $i$ and $j$. If they are in different classes and hence a boundary is passing between them, then a cut must contain either:

$\cdots$ 1. the edge $(i, j)$, or
2. the edge (j,i)

Set edge weights accordingly:

1. $\nu$ for edge $(i, j)$,
2. $\nu$ for edge $(j, i)$

$$
E_{0}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \Gamma\right)=\int_{R_{1}}\left(a_{1}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\int_{R_{2}}\left(a_{2}-f\right)^{2} d x d y+\nu|\Gamma|
$$

## Results of Two-Class Segmentation


P. Strandmark, F. Kahl, Optimizing Parametric Total Variation Models, International Conference on Computer Vision, Sep., Kyoto, Japan 2009.

## Example of graph-cut application: Multi-view volumetric reconstruction



Calibrated images of Lambertian scene

3D model of scene

CVPR'05 slides from Vogiatzis, Torr, Cippola


## There are many, many other applications with Graph Cuts in recent years (recognition, stereo, motion estimation...)

## Graph cuts homepage

http://www.cs.cornell.edu/~rdz/graphcuts.html

## Wikipedia

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graph_cuts_in_computer_vision

## Review

- Graphs
- Simple graph based segmentation -> eigenvalue problem
- Mumford-Shah functional
- Graph cuts
- Finish assignment 2


